

1 JOHN T. JASNOCH (CSB No. 281605)
2 jjasnoch@scott-scott.com
3 CORNELIA J. B. GORDON (CSB No. 320207)
4 cgordon@scott-scott.com
5 SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
600 West Broadway, Suite 3300
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: (619) 798-5310
Facsimile: (619) 233-0508

6 WILLIAM C. FREDERICKS (*pro hac vice*)
7 wfredericks@scott-scott.com
8 SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP
9 230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor
New York, NY 10169
Telephone: (212) 223-6444
Facsimile: (212) 223-6334

10 | *Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel
for the Putative Class*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

IN RE SENTINELONE, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 4:23-CV-02786-HSG

This Document Relates to All Actions

**STIPULATED REQUEST AND
ORDER (1) EXTENDING TIME FOR
FILING OF OPPOSITION TO AND
(2) REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS**

(Civil L.R. 6-1 and 7-12)

Pursuant to Rules 6-2 and 7-12 of the Northern District of California Civil Local Rules (“Civil Local Rule” or “Civil Local Rules”), lead plaintiff Amir Gupta (“Plaintiff”) and defendants SentinelOne, Inc., Tomer Weingarten, and David Bernhardt (“Defendants” and together with Plaintiff, the “Parties”), hereby agree and stipulate to an extension of two court days for Plaintiff’s time to file his Opposition (the “Opposition”) to Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss (the “Motion”), from Thursday, October 31, 2024, to Monday, November 4, 2024, and a brief extension of Defendants’ time to file a Reply in Support of the Motion (the “Reply”), from Monday December 2, 2024, to Friday, December 13, 2024.

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2024, the Court granted Defendants’ motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s Amended Complaint, while also granting leave to replead;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed his Second Amended Complaint on August 1, 2024;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Parties’ stipulated schedule, which was entered by the Court, the Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss on September 16, 2024, Plaintiff’s Opposition is due on Thursday, October 31, 2024, and Defendants’ Reply is due on December 2, 2024 (ECF No. 74), with hearing on the Motion set for January 23, 2025;

WHEREAS, the Parties have met and conferred, and agreed that, as set forth in the accompanying declaration of William C. Fredericks, good cause exists for (a) granting Plaintiff’s request for an extension of two court days (until Monday, November 4, 2024) to file the Opposition; and (b) a brief extension (until Friday, December 13, 2024) for Defendants to file their Reply;

WHEREAS, the Parties have only sought one other brief extension, whereby Defendants agreed to giving Plaintiff a two-day extension to file his Second Amended Complaint, and the Parties do not seek to extend any other existing deadlines, and the relief requested herein will not affect any other pre-trial and trial dates (as no such dates have yet been set);

NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties have conferred and stipulated, subject to the Court’s approval, to the following briefing schedule for the filing of the Second Amended Complaint and any responses thereto:

1. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Plaintiff’s deadline to file the Opposition is extended to November 4, 2024; and

1 2. Defendants' deadline to Reply is extended to Friday, December 13, 2024.
2

3 Dated: October 29, 2024

SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP

4 By: /s/ William C. Fredericks
5 William C. Fredericks
6 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Amir Gupta

7 Dated: October 29, 2024

FENWICK & WEST LLP

8 By: /s/ Marie Bafus
9 Marie Bafus
10 Attorneys For Defendants, SentinelOne, Inc., Tomer
11 Weingarten, and David Bernhardt

12 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), all signatories concur in filing this
13 stipulation.

14 Dated: October 29, 2024

By: /s/ William C. Fredericks
15 William C. Fredericks

16 * * *

17 **ORDER**

18 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: 10/30/2024

20 
21 Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
22 United States District Court Judge